## REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

# FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF Peaceful Valley Ranch Fire Station, S04-042RPL<sup>3</sup>

## September 7, 2006

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.							
II. MSCP/BMO - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							
The project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project is located within the Metro-Lakeside-Jamul segment of the MSCP. The project is a proposed Fire Service Facility for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the San Diego County Rural Fire District. It is proposed on property that has been used for agricultural purposes. Refer to the MSCP Findings dated July 25, 2006 for more information.							
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?							
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT				
Discussion:							

The project will obtain its water supply from the Otay Water District, which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

## IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Steep Slope section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

### Discussion:

**Wetland and Wetland Buffers**: The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. The site does not have a substratum of predominately undrained hydric soils, the land does not support, even periodically, hydric plants, nor does the site have a substratum that is non-soil and is saturated with water or covered by water at some time during the growing season of each year.

**Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:** The project is not within the floodways, flood plain fringe as defined in the Resource Protection Ordinance.

**Steep Slopes:** The average slope for the property is less than 25 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

**Sensitive Habitats:** No sensitive habitat lands were identified on the site as determined on a site visit conducted by Shannon Murphy on November 19, 2004. Therefore, it has been found that the proposed project complies with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites: No Impact: Based on an analysis of records and a survey of the property by a County of San Diego certified archaeologist, Brian Smith on June 3, 2003, it has been determined that there are no impacts to prehistoric or historic resources because they do not occur within the project site. The results of the survey are provided in a cultural resources report titled, An Archaeological Survey of the Rural Fire Station Project (APN 597-060-02), prepared by Brian F. Smith, dated November 10, 2004.

<u>V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)</u> - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

□

#### Discussion:

The Department of Planning and Land Use (DPLU) and Department of Public Works (DPW) staff have reviewed the Stormwater Management Plan for the Peaceful Valley Ranch Fire Station dated December 2004 and prepared by RBF Consulting. This document complies with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management, and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO, Section 67.817).

<u>VI. NOISE ORDINANCE</u> – Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan and the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance?

YES NO NOT APPLICABLE

#### Discussion:

The proposal would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.